## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	OF CALIFORNIA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>CR-08 -0040JF</u>
V. ()	ORDER OF DETENTION DE LA COMPANION DE LA COMPA
Werold Kay William Defendant	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act 1	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on $2/26$ , 200
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney	M Phough the The Heist on 2/26, 200
Assistant U.S. Attorney	M. Ways . The United States was represented by
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is charged with an offer	nse described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been
convicted of a prior offense described in 18 H S C	§ 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
offense, and a period of not more than five (5) year	y 5142(1)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
imprisonment, whichever is later.	y 5142(1)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local s has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from
safety of any other person and the community.	hat no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
defendant has committed an offense	e indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq.,	imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
1,0	· UK
This establishes a rebuttable presumetion at	of a firearm during the commission of a felony.
appearance of the defendant as required and the safet	at no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
No presumption applies.	y of the community.
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICA	NONTHERN C
/ The defendant has not come forward and	BLE STRICT OF
will be ordered detained.	h any evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and the therefore
	UMIII.
	idence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the Un	nited Chan
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTE)	DOD THE DEVICE TO THE
The United States has proved to a prenery	demona of the arrival and the second
will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant	derance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
will reasonably assure the safety of any other person an	convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEME	in the community.
The Court has taken into account the frate	ENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION
at hearing and finds as follows: The defendant is	rs set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
malicinaly damaging & destroyer	charged with a violation of 18 USC & 844 (i)
uttensuis truminal hotry involv	- time frames - Inv. He hasan
batery, dandalism. He has sen	ling theft, under the influence of alcohol, fighting
defected by with resource	end violations of parale indicating his
The same superiors	
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have	
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	waived written findings.
The defendant is committed to the custoder St.	
Corrections facility separate to the extent	torney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a more in	rsons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending
of the United States or on the regress of a	isons awaring or serving sentences or being held in custody pending unity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court
the defendant to the United States Manual Const	overnment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver
the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of	an appearance in connection with a court proceeding

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge